TO: Lauren Proctor FROM: Jeff Pietrzak Unit/Senior: 0381/Landscape Architecture Project Manager: Wayne Lewis	DISTRICT: 03 DATE: 04/15/2015 EA: 03-4E3200 EFIS#0300000696	CO: PLA	<b>RTE</b> : 80;65	<b>PM:</b> 1.9/6.1;R4.8/R7.3
CONTRACT SEPARATION:  ☐ Roadside work as part of roadway work EA  ☐ Roadside work for roadway project to follow under separate EA	PROJECT: I-80 and SR 65 Between Douglas Blvd and Rocklin Rd And I-80 and Pleasant Grove Blvd.  FUNDING SOURCE: SHOPP, NCIIP, Local Agency (2016)  PROJECT MILESTONE: PID Pre-PA&ED PA&ED PS&E  PROJECT COST (In thousands):\$341.4M-\$350.9M			
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:  The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), in cooperation with the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency (PCTA), Placer County, and the Cities of Roseville, Rocklin, and Lincoln, proposes to improve the Interstate 80/ State Route 65 (I-80/SR 65) interchange in Placer County, California, to reduce traffic congestion, improve operations and safety,				

and comply with current Caltrans and local agency design standards.

The project proposes to widen I-80 to 12 lanes from Eureka Road Interchange to just west of Rocklin Road Interchange, widen SR 65 to 8 lanes from the I-80/SR 65 interchange to Pleasant Grove Blvd interchange, improve the I-80/SR 65 interchange with high speed connector ramps, adding one additional lane to each connector ramp, the addition of an HOV direct connector between I-80 and SR 65, and local interchange ramp improvements and street widening to accommodate these improvements The cost is estimated at \$350,827,200 which includes \$5,227,200 for right of way and utilities and

Three viable build alternatives have been considered.

\$345,550,000 for construction. The project will be constructed in phases.

Project Limits	03-PLA-80 and 03-PLA-65	
	PM 1.9 to 6.1 and PM R4.8 to R7.3	
Number of Alternatives	5 (3 Build, TSM, No-Build)	
Current Capital Outlay Construction	\$341.4M-\$350.9M	
Estimate		
Current Capital Outlay Right-of-Way	\$3.7M-\$5.3M	
Estimate	*	
Funding Source	SHOPP, NCIIP, Local Agency	
Funding Year	2016	
Type of Facility	Interstate and freeway	
Number of Structures	9-11	
<b>Environmental Determination or Document</b>	EIR/ complex EA leading to FONSI	
Legal Description	Interchange	
Project Development Category	4A	

SCENIC HIGHWAY STATUS	☐ Officially Designated	☐ Eligible	Not Designated     ■		
CONTEXT SENSITIVITY			î		
☐ It is determined that the project may inv ☐ No foreseen issues with community and http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/oppd/context/index.htm	olve consideration of community I local involvement	and local involveme	ent.		
A) HIGHWAY PLANTING BACKGROUND	INFORMATION				
LANDSCAPE FREEWAY STATUS WARRANTED HIGHWAY PLANTING REQ REPLACEMENT PLANTING REQUIRED (E) H2O & POWER AVAILABLE (E) IRRIGATION IMPACTED ADJ. TO OUTDOOR ADVERTISING COOP. MAINT. AGREEMENTS AREA (Ft²/ACRE) FOR HIGHWAY PLANTING:	<ul> <li></li></ul>	☐ No	y wants excessive planting)		
B) EROSION CONTROL BACKGROUND	INFORMATION				
SOIL DISTURBANCE CONCENTRATED FLOW AREAS SLOPE LOCATIONS SLOPES > 2:1 AREA (Ft²/ACRE) FOR EROSION CONTROL:	<ul><li></li></ul>	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No			
C) MITIGATION. BACKGROUND INFORM	MATION	ä	<i>a</i> .		
PROJECT BIOLOGIST TBD APPLICABLE PERMITS TBD BIOLOGICAL REVEG. REQUIRED VISUAL IMPACT AVOID/MIT. REQ UNIT TASKED w/ BIO. REVEG PLANT COUNT FOR MITIGATION PLANTING:	Contact Date: <u>TBD</u>	☐ No ☐ No Architecture [	☐ Stewardship		
D) DESIGN FOR ROADSIDE MAINTENANCE SAFETY					
PAVING Maintenance Veh ACCESS Access Road http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LandArch/policy/pdf/design_f	□ Drive Gate   □ Wall	row Areas [ lk Gate	Extended Gore Area		
E) DESIGN FOR ROADSIDE VEGETATION MANAGEMENT TREATMENT					
<ul><li>☑ Under Metal Beam Guardrail</li><li>☑ Around Sign Posts</li></ul>					
F) AESTHETIC TREATMENT		ij			
<ul> <li>Sound Wall (CMU Block)</li> <li>Sound Wall (Concrete)</li> <li>Retaining Wall</li> <li>Bridge Structure (Bridge Rail, Piers, Abu</li> <li>Slope Paving</li> </ul>	utments)				



Tree removal costs associated with 'Clearing and Grubbing'
 Temporary erosion control/ water pollution control
 Contour grading/ benching/ surface roughening

## LANDSCAPE/ REVEGETATION/ EROSION CONTROL RELATED ITEMS- ESTIMATE OF COST - 04/27/15

A) HIGHWAY PLANTING COST INFORMATION:				
<ul> <li>☐ Highway Planting/ Irrigation (Acre)</li> <li>☐ 3-year Plant Establishment</li> <li>☐ Inert Materials</li> </ul>	\$ To Be Determined \$ To Be Determined \$ To Be Determined			
A) SUBTOTAL	\$ <u>\$7,000,000*</u>			
B) EROSION CONTROL COST INFORMATION:				
<ul> <li>Soil Stabilization (BFM, Hydroseed, Compost, etc.)</li> <li>Sediment Control (RECP, Slope Interruptors, etc.)</li> <li>Soil Building (Incorporate Materials, Duff, etc.)</li> <li>Steep Slope Applications (Wire Blanket, Cellular Confinement, etc.)</li> </ul>	\$ To Be Determined			
B) SUBTOTAL	\$ <u>335,000*</u>			
C) MITIGATION PLANTING COST INFORMATION:				
<ul><li>Landscape Architecture Tasked Biological Reveg.</li><li>Visual Impact Mitigation Planting</li></ul>	\$ - <u>To Be Determined</u> \$ - Paid as part of Highway Planting			
C) SUBTOTAL	\$ - <u>To Be Determined</u>			
D) DESIGN FOR ROADSIDE MAINTENANCE SAFETY:				
<ul><li>☑ Paving.</li><li>☑ Access</li></ul>	\$ - <u>20-125/ Sqyd</u> \$ - <u>1,500 Ea</u>			
D) SUBTOTAL	\$ - <u>To Be Determined</u>			
E) DESIGN FOR ROADSIDE VEGETATION MANAGEMENT TREATMENT:				
<ul><li>✓ MBGR</li><li>✓ Signs</li></ul>	\$ - <u>25-100/ Sqyd</u> \$ - <u>25-100/ Sqyd</u>			
E) SUBTOTAL	\$ - <u>To Be Determined</u>			
F) AESTHETIC TREATMENT:				
<ul> <li>Soundwall (CMU Block)</li> <li>Soundwall (Concrete)</li> <li>Retaining Wall</li> <li>Bridge Structure (</li> <li>Slope Paving</li> </ul>	\$ -\frac{\$16.50/Sqft} \$ -\frac{\$3.50/Sqft} \$ -\frac{\$3.50/Sqft} \$ -\frac{\$3.50/Sqft} \$ -\frac{\$3.50/Sqft} \$ -\frac{\$600/CuYd}{\$0.50}			
F) SUBTOTAL	\$ -To Be Determined			
*For project initiation purposes, subtotal A+B should target roughly 5% of the project cost of roadway construction items.	<u>\$ 7,335,000*</u>			
NOT INCLUDED IN ESTIMATE				

CONCURRED BY:

PREPARED BY:

4/30/2010

(Project Manager)

DATE: 4-30-2015

APPROVED BY:
(Landscape Architecture or Engineering Services Branch Chief)